## Academic Department Assessments – AY 14/15

Dept. 13/14 Action Items and Implementation Status	SLOs Assessed	Assessment Outcomes	Activity and Reflection	Number Assessed	Action Items
<ul> <li>Create a senior shortanswer exit exam similar to the sophomore review exam.</li> <li>Composed senior review exam and delivered at the end of Spring 2015</li> <li>Seniors write a critical review of a piece from the senior exhibit.</li> <li>Composed new rubric to assess this.</li> <li>Seniors complete an oral review that enables assessment of degree of mastery of proper terminology, techniques, design elements, and ability to contextualize work in terms of artistic styles.</li> <li>Composed a new rubric to assess this.</li> <li>Seniors present a resume and portfolio.</li> <li>Composed a new rubric to assess this.</li> </ul>	SLO 1 Have a comprehensive foundational experience in the visual arts.  SLO 2 Possess an aesthetic value system and critical skills necessary for creating and evaluating fine art.  SLO 3 Possess a basic knowledge of the history of art and the role of the arts in contemporary society.  SLO 4 Possess basic art making skills necessary for post- baccalaureate graduate study or employment in arts- related fields.	<ol> <li>2. 3.16/4.00</li> <li>3. 3.83/4.00</li> <li>4. 4.00/4.00</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>The faculty randomly selected two samples of senior short-answer exit exam to assess.</li> <li>Students appear to be meeting the student learning outcomes; however, some improvement is needed in the following areas: specific use of terminology, identification of artists, time periods and styles, and the quality and candor of peer feedback during critiques.</li> </ul>	2 (n=4)	<ul> <li>Improving Student Learning</li> <li>2a. Position senior art show critique as stand-alone assignment with explicit instructions/requirements for valid critique.</li> <li>2b. To develop strong critique habits, have students critique peer work and present to class for discussion.</li> <li>2c. Provide brief example of art criticism from popular press and analyze in class.</li> <li>3a. Reinforce terminology, artists, styles and elements of design across the art curriculum.</li> <li>3b. Develop a concise list of key artists, styles, and terms and develop engaging in-class activities to make part of students' working vocabulary.</li> <li>Improving Assessment</li> <li>Revise departmental learning outcome #3 to read: Students will demonstrate a comprehensive foundational knowledge of the history of art.</li> <li>Revise assessment rubrics to better reflect the desired learning outcomes.</li> <li>Assess all students enrolled in the capstone.</li> </ul>

ACCT/ BADM	as students complete internships or find employment.  Raise the required grade for entrance into ACCT 213 (Intermediate Accounting I) from a Cto a B- in ACCT 123 (Principles of Accounting II). Implementation was	BADM/ACCT common: SLO 1 Understand the basic business management functions. SLO 2 Develop competency in data analysis techniques including the use of spreadsheets and databases. SLO 3 Gain an understanding of the ethical dilemmas faced by business managers OR accountants and auditors. SLO 4 Develop interpersonal skills and learn to be a valuable member of a team. SLO 5 Be prepared for entry-level employment in the field of business OR accounting. ACCT specific: SLO 1 Demonstrate a basic understanding of the following: a. generally accepted	<ol> <li>Internships –         SLOs 1-5 – 100%         scored at least a 3         (average across all 5         SLOs).</li> <li>Goal for SLOs 2 &amp; 3:         No more than 15% of         students will fail to meet         expectations</li> <li>Business Ethics         Exceeded – 16%         Met – 65%         Failed to Meet – 19%</li> <li>Auditing         Exceeded – 18%         Met – 66%         Failed to Meet – 16%</li> </ol>	• Analyzed employer evaluation forms from internships and assessed writing assignments given in Business Ethics (BADM 364) and Auditing (ACCT 423). The analysis indicates very strong performance by our students on their internships. The vast majority were rated either an Excellent or an Above Average in all categories with no student being rated a Below Average or an Unsatisfactory/ Poor in any category. Of the 28 internships, only two sponsors stated they would not hire the student.	Bus. Ethics: 31 (n=31)  Auditing: 18 (n=18)	<ul> <li>Solicit feedback from recent grads about quality of preparation ACCT 412 provided for the CPA exam; consider changes based on that feedback.</li> <li>To continue to strengthen the computer and information systems skills of our students, require of all majors CIS 129, Fundamentals of Information Systems.</li> <li>The course instructor for Business Ethics will allocate additional class time to ethical problem solving.</li> <li>The accounting instructor will allocate additional class time to preparing students for identifying misapplications of accounting principles and deficiencies in following auditing standards.</li> <li>Improving Assessment</li> <li>Working with Career Development, modify internship evaluation form to include feedback categories for ethical decision-making, communication and computer skills, and skill-based professional preparedness.</li> </ul>
---------------	---	---	---	--	---	---

	1		T .		T		<u> </u>
			accounting				
			principles and the				
			preparation of				
			accurate and				
			informative				
			financial				
			statements.				
			b. generally				
			accepted auditing				
			standards and the				
			importance and				
			function of an				
			independent				
			audit				
			c. the Internal				
			Revenue Code				
			and the impact of				
			taxes on business				
			decisions.				
BIO	•	Provide consistent	SLO 1a At each level of	1a. 6-yes; 3-no; 2-n/a	Six papers were selected	11 (n=23)	Improving Student Learning
		format for senior	organization,		at random from the		1a. Continue to remind students of
		research papers.	students will		senior independent		proper formatting for the paper as
	•	Use senior seminar	demonstrate an		studies submitted in		this proved effective.
		papers to assess SLO 1.	understanding of		spring 2015, and 5		1b. Implement biotechnology methods
		We added 5 papers	the		papers were selected at		lab and use junior research seminar to
		from the senior seminar	interrelationships		random from the senior		increase time on task for data analysis
		in Fall 2014 to the	of parts and		seminar courses		of and experiments to address
		assessment process.	processes specific		submitted in fall 2014.		biological problems.
	•	Shift emphasis on	to the system		Each professor read each		Improving Assessment
		experimental design to	under study.		of the 11 papers and		Use the papers or lab reports from
		the Junior Research	SLO 1b At each level of	1b. 8-yes; 3-no	determined whether		other classes, possibly Animal
		Seminar to help	organization,	9-yes; 2-no	each outcome was met,		Systematics or Cellular Biology, to
		students better	students will		marking each as <i>yes</i> or		more closely align the assignment
		understand the	demonstrate an		no.		with SLO 1a & b.

relationship among	ability to critically		Introducing the change in	Rephrase SLO 1b to more adequately
hypothesis,	analyze and clearly		the syllabus resulted in	convey goal of mastery of cross-
experimental design and	articulate elements			, ,
,			the papers having a more	disciplinary levels of organization.
statistics. <b>Students</b>	of contemporary		consistent format.	
registered for Junior	issues related to		The senior seminar	
Research Seminars with	the system under		papers did not address	
the faculty member	study.		SLO 1 as it is currently	
	SLO 2a Students will	2a. 6-yes; 5-no	written.	
planned to complete	demonstrate an		The department will not	
their senior research	ability to generate		be able to fully assess	
project, ensuring earlier	lab reports		SLOs 2b & 2c until the	
direct supervision.	containing all		end of the students'	
	elements of a		senior year in 15-16, as	
	formal research		that is when they	
	paper—abstract,		complete the senior	
	introduction,		research.	
	methods and			
	materials, results,			
	and discussion.			
	SLO 2b Students will	2b. 6-yes; 4-no; 1-n/a		
	demonstrate			
	mastery of			
	techniques specific			
	to the investigation			
	of each major level			
	of organization of			
	life—the cell, the			
	organism, and the			
	ecosystem.			
	SLO 2c Students will	2c. 3-no; 8-n/a		
	demonstrate ability	1-yes; 4-no; 6-n/a		
	to analyze data and			
	interpret research			
	across levels of			

СНЕМ	Require students to	organization. SLO 3 Students will effectively communicate orally and in written form about biological matters. SLO 2 Demonstrate the	3. 10-yes; 1-no SLO 2 3 students met	Assessed student work	3 (n=3)	Improving Student Learning
	develop a research proposal during their junior year. Students will formulate research ideas, select an advisor, gather relevant literature and write a proposal during the spring semester of their junior year. Action item not implemented because no course in the junior year required a research proposal as part of the student's grade. (See action items for 15/16.)	ability to conduct an internal or external research project.  SLO 3 Possess scientific literacy and problemsolving skills associated with the main branches of chemistry: analytical, biochemistry, inorganic, organic, physical, and environmental.	benchmark (+70%) on 3 of 5 research criteria.  SLO 3 No rubric was used. Faculty were disappointed w/ quality of content and delivery of oral presentations delivered in two chemistry seminars.	(CHEM 490) on the American Chemical Society Carrying Out Research and Laboratory Notebook rubrics and on the Research Proposal or the Research Report rubric. CHEM 490 projects lacked sophistication in project conception and in identifying meaningful context for findings.  2. Assessed oral presentations in CHEM 470/480. Oral presentations lacked sophistication in conception and placement of findings within meaningful context.		<ul> <li>The department is submitting redesigned 2-course capstone sequence in fall '15. The first will include an assignment to prepare a research proposal in anticipation of undertaking a research project in a subsequent semester.</li> <li>Due to the heavy quantitative component of the introductory CHEM series, the department is considering offering Organic Chemistry as the introductory course, thereby assisting students to strengthen their math skills before taking CHEM 140-160.</li> <li>Improving Assessment</li> <li>Students will take the American Chemistry Society Diagnostic of Undergraduate Chemistry Knowledge (ACS DUCK) exam.</li> <li>Oral presentations will be assessed against a standard departmental rubric.</li> </ul>
СОММ	N/A	SLO 1 Be a critical communication producer and consumer. SLO 2 Learn to use sound,	Goal: All students assessed in COMM 282, Writing for Mass Media, would score 2 or better on 4-point rubric.	<ul> <li>Collected samples of student writing from COMM 282 and assessed using department developed rubric.</li> </ul>	5 (n=28)	Create student worksheets that instruct students to actively seek information related to semiotics (in particularly rich TV show or film).  Additionally, add introduction to

		visual and/or written data effectively to create mass media messages via print or electronic systems.  SLO 3 Be prepared for employment in entry- level and/or management positions requiring media communication- related theoretical knowledge and/or technical skills.	COMM 282 Subscores  Description and Analysis 2/5 = 2 or better 2/5 = 1 1/5 = N/A  Media Utilization 2/5 = 2 or better 2/5 = 1 1/5 = N/A  Evaluation of Effectiveness 3/5 = 2 or better 1/5 = 1 1/5 = N/A	COMM 282 has no prerequisite; thus lower scores may indicate unfamiliarity with semiotics.  Randomly sampled student newscasts (TCTV) and assessed using department developed rubric.  a. Students in a class wrote the news and other students were the news production teams. Sometimes confusion occurred between the writers and the producers.		semiotic analysis to COMM 171, Intro to Communication.  TCTV  3a. New TV hire should improve student technical production skills and professional writing skills.  3b. TCTV News was a club production this year; thus students were less motivated to keep their commitments to the broadcast. Including these productions in course content should enhance student motivation.  3c. Within the structure of a course, the students could regularly practice their on-air and production skills.  Improving Assessment
				comfortable and confident for the most part. c. Due to lack of formal instruction, students' technical skills were weaker than expected.		review and revise learning outcomes to reflect the current direction of the department.
EDUC	Revive use of PD360     videos to provide     examples of effective     teaching strategies.     Request made to VPAA,	SLO 1 Effectively apply the principles and theories of child development, including	PECT/Praxis II avg. pass rates (2009-2014) = 93% Student Teaching Assessment Data	Students created     ePortfolios that were     evaluated as part of the     student teaching     experience.	19 (n=19)  All Student Teachers,	<ul> <li>Improving Student Learning</li> <li>Continue to require students to complete ePortfolios of their work.</li> <li>Create a goals-based rubric for ePortfolio assessment and assess annually.</li> </ul>
	who is reviewing sample	developmentally	Field Supervisor Rating:	Student teachers were	Spring 2015	Select a sub-set (5-7 items) of PDE

content.	appropriate practices.	9 = "exemplary"	evaluated by their field	10 (n=10)	learning goals, create a rubric, and
Students with deficient	SLO 2 Implement lessons	1 = "superior"	supervisors and	10 (11–10)	annually assess written work produced
ePortfolios and "best	based on early	1 - Superior	professors using the		by students in an advanced class.
practices binders" will	childhood education	Mentoring Thiel Faculty	same evaluation tool the		by students in an advanced class.
•	foundations, theory	Rating:	state uses. Students and		
create actions plans for	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_			
improvement in	and policy.	9 = exemplary	faculty discussed these		
consultation with a	SLO 3 Develop effective and	1 = superior	evaluations at the		
mentor. <b>Completed.</b>	appropriate		student-teacher wrap up		
	curriculum that		meeting.		
	creates a secure base				
	from which young				
	children can explore				
	and tackle challenging				
	problems.				
	SLO 4 Develop and				
	implement				
	meaningful,				
	challenging				
	curriculum that				
	supports young				
	children's ability and				
	motivation to solve				
	problems and think				
	well.				
	SLO 5 Identify, administer,				
	interpret, and plan				
	instruction based on				
	each of the				
	assessment				
	components in a				
	standards aligned				
	system.				
	SLO 6 Systematically				
	monitor student				

		performance to best				
		identify areas of				
		need.				
		SLO 7 Establish and				
		maintain a positive				
		social context for				
		learning.				
		SLO 8 Communicate high				
		learning expectations				
		to all students.				
		SLO 9 Establish and				
		maintain fair and				
		consistent standards				
		for classroom				
		behavior.				
		SLO 10 Create a safe physical				
		environment that is				
		conducive to learning.				
		SLO 11 Construct lesson and				
		activity plans and set				
		instructional goals				
		and objectives guided				
		by content,				
		pedagogy, and				
		developmental				
		considerations,				
		consistent with PA's				
		learning standards.				
		SLO 12 Use appropriate				
		interactions between				
		teacher and students				
		and among students.				
ENG	Revise departmental	SLO 1 Recognize and use	Student 1; 3 of 5.	1. One member of the	3 (n=5)	Improving Student Learning
	learning outcome 4 to	with proficiency and		department scored three	, ,	Examples of textual criticism—of
		, ,		<u>'</u>		1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -

ENSCI	read: An English major will apply training in oral and written communication skills to demonstrate mastery of the English language. Completed.  Revise learning outcome 3 to improve clarity. In progress.  Provide greater emphasis on systematic grammar instruction in the OWE courses. The department met with instructors and emphasized the importance of grammar instruction.  Investigate the possibility of developing a comprehensive departmental exam. This item was put on hold until discussions about separating the oral component from these courses has occurred.	skill the tools and methods of literary scholarship.  SLO 2 Analyze, interpret, and evaluate various forms of literary expression.	Student 2; 3 of 5.  Student 3; 4 of 5.  Score of 3 = demonstrates competence; considerable growth is necessary to reach mastery (level 5).	of a group of five literary criticism papers that had been entered into a campus competition for excellence in student research writing. The rubric used was developed by the English Department based upon its SLOs for majors and minors.  2. Two papers scored in the middle range—demonstrating competence but well short of mastery—on both goals. A third scored at the mid-high range, "solid grasp" but requiring "some further development" to achieve mastery.  3. These papers were authored by some of the department's strongest students. A broader cross-section of papers will be assessed next year.	books, films, art—might be shared with majors early in their careers, with majors given many opportunities to write short critiques they could then jury in small groups.  • A consistent weakness in papers written by English majors with strong language skills is wordiness. Exercises, again early and often, in leaving words out rather than larding them in as if paid to do so, could help with this issue.  Improving Assessment  • Revisit learning goals; some are difficult to assess based upon ordinary coursework.  • Score a larger sample of papers, selected randomly from work produced by all students in a given class.
	research paper in ENSC 111 to improve effective communication of environmental topics	interdisciplinary perspectives and approaches to environmental	expert:  1. 83%	year was applied to three senior internship papers from summer 2014 and spring 2015. Two faculty	Rework the research paper required in ENSC 111 to have more applied focus.

and data. The research	problems.		members assessed each		1
paper was broken down	problems.		paper and each objective		
into smaller			was scored at the novice		
assignments before the					
•			(N), intermediate (I) or		
complete paper was			expert (E) level according		
due to provide students			to the descriptions in the		
with more feedback.	SLO 2 Daws a street	3 660/	rubric.	2 & 3	
Spend more time in	SLO 2 Demonstrate a	2. 66%	2. Objectives were met		
ENSC 350 on research	working knowledge of		successfully in which	a	, ,
paper to improve	techniques used to		greater than 66% of the		laboratory components of
application of	collect and analyze		students scored at the		courses.
interdisciplinary	environmental data.		intermediate or expert	b	· ·
perspectives and	SLO 3 Communicate	3. 58%	level:		projects/papers during the
approaches to	effectively on		a. All parts of the first		research symposium or other
environmental problems	environmental topics	(Lower sub score on	SLO were met.		public events.
and working knowledge	and data.	organization and	b. Some parts of the	C.	•
of techniques used to		mechanicals of written	next two objectives		of-class presentations to
collect and analyze		communication and on	were met while		assessment of presentations to
environmental data.		data use and analysis.)	others were not. It is		effect improvement.
Students were required			clear that students		
to present the findings			need more		
of their previous lab			instruction and		
experiment each week			experience with data		
as well as more			analysis and		
formally present their			interpretation.		
research project to the			c. It is apparent that		
class. More time was			our students struggle		
spent in explanation of			with communicating		
what was expected of			effectively.		
the research project					
paper in this course as					
well.					
Require a rough draft of					
the internship paper for					
1111					

	review and suggestions at mid-way point of the internship. A rough draft was strongly recommended for all internship papers but has not yet been required.		Decelies 7F0/ III		10 (* 20)	
HIST	<ul> <li>Rewrite SLOs 2 &amp; 4 to increase clarity.         Completed     </li> <li>The senior capstone doesn't cover all regions of the world; need to revise capstone curriculum, or evaluate SLOs in other courses.         The changes to SLOs 2         &amp; 4 made it easier to assess the capstone.     </li> <li>Create operational definitions of find, analyze, and interpret for better assessment of student learning. This action item was completed and for AY 2014-15 each of these concepts was assessed independently.</li> </ul>	SLO 1 Demonstrate a knowledge and understanding of contemporary society—its people, ideas, and institutions.  SLO 2 Analyze the cause(s) and result(s) of historical events across a broad spectrum.  SLO 3 Demonstrate knowledge of human experience as represented through history.  SLO 4 Demonstrate an understanding of major historical factors as embodied in historical cultures.  SLO 5-8 Find, analyze, and interpret historical	Baseline: 75% will score 3/4 or higher on each assessed SLO.  1. Historical Methods On 7 of 8 SLOs, 75% scored 3 or higher.  2. Senior Capstone On 4 of 8 SLOs, 60% scored 4 or higher.	<ul> <li>Using a departmental rubric, we assessed a random sample of 6 of 8 papers from Introduction to Historical Methods (sophomore level), and 4 of 12 papers from the History Capstone (senior level).</li> <li>Students in Historical Methods met all but SLO 5.</li> <li>Students in the Capstone failed to meet SLOs 4 &amp; 5.</li> </ul>	10 (n=20)	<ul> <li>Reinforce the concepts of SLOs 3 &amp; 4 in our 200- 300- and 400-level courses.</li> <li>Incorporate more assignments that provide students with experience with analyzing and interpreting historical evidence in our 300- and 400-level courses.</li> <li>Improving Assessment</li> <li>Establish a rotation for assessing SLOs 1-4 in our 300- and 400-level courses.</li> <li>Consider increasing the sample size for both courses, perhaps evaluating all instances of a single assignment in the capstone.</li> </ul>

			evidence.				
MATH/ CSCI	<ul> <li>Recommend that students complete all 100-level requirements by the end of sophomore year, particularly Actuarial Studies. Completed.</li> <li>Recommend abandoning the listed Actuarial Exam study course and replacing it with targeted directed study classes. Test preparation is occurring through individualized instruction.</li> </ul>	SLO 2	Demonstrate algorithmic problem solving skills and techniques appropriate to the given major. Translate "real world" problems into abstractions that can be solved through the skills and techniques of the major. Apply problem solving skills to the abstract model of "real world" problems to generate meaningful solutions and analyses of the problems.	Actuarial Studies: Society of Actuaries Exam—passing score=6  (Probability) Student A – 8 Student B – 7 Student C – 6  (Financial Mathematics) Student D – 7  Math 461, Statistics (scale=0-3) 2,3,2 2,3,3 2,2,1 1,2,2  Computer Science 427, Operating Systems (scale=0-3) 3,3,n/a 0,0,n/a 0,2,n/a 1,1,n/a	The department assessed all majors housed in Math/CSCI this year against rubrics the department prepared:  1. Actuarial Studies – the external assessment provided by the Society of Actuaries (SOA) is used to determine mastery of our graduate outcomes. SOA provides a scoring that mimics our rubric.  Additionally, a substantive analysis and treatment from a Junior/Senior level course was assessed against our rubric.  2. Computer Science – a substantive analysis and treatment from a Junior/Senior level course was assessed against the rubric.  Computer Science—only one of the four samples included algorithmic skills, despite the prompt calling for examples of	4 (n=4) 4 (n=11)	Improving Student Learning  1 &2 Actuarial Studies & Math  a. Restructure appropriate courses to provide more in-class time on statistical test results and statistical modeling.  b. Require students to collect larger samples for data analysis.  c. Require students to use random sampling techniques rather than relying upon convenient samples.  d. Task faculty to provide more significant practice in statistical theory.  3. Computer Science  a. Require students to prepare interim reports/ projects so that they can receive feedback about the use of algorithms.  b. Require students to state explicitly relationship between chosen problem and real-world situations.  c. Continue and enhance faculty development in deep learning pedagogies.  Improving Assessment  • Affirm the general SLOs for all majors housed in MATH/CSI.  • Ensure 100-level course completion by third semester.  • Use class discussion and peer-review to

				algorithms. Students were able to describe real-world problems as abstractions. Our sample papers didn't adequately address whether or not students could generate solutions and analyses.		<ul> <li>model appropriate skill development.</li> <li>Provide additional instruction on creation of data sets.</li> <li>Revise course content – Math 461 – on theory of statistics.</li> <li>Require earlier drafts for projects using algorithms.</li> <li>Map existing course offerings to ensure coverage of all Financial Mathematics material assessed on the SOA exam.</li> </ul>
NCSI	2014-2015 was the first year that Neuroscience existed as its own department. The assessment plan was developed as the department worked to finalize curriculum.	SLO 1 Develop an interdisciplinary knowledge base in NeuroscienceDepth of Knowledge	1. Knowledge 95%	1. Depth of Knowledge: One neuroscience major presented a formal lecture as part of NSCI 444 (Senior Seminar in Neuroscience). This presentation was recorded and scored by the Neuroscience faculty member using an in- house rubric. The student received a 95% performance score.	1 (n=1)	Improving Student Learning  1. Although the single data point collected for this measure indicates we are successfully meeting our goal, substantially more samples of student work are required for us to be confident in this area. Therefore the department will continue to implement the stated plan.
		SLO 2 Refine Communication Skills -Written Communication	2. Communication Avg. score - 1.7/5.0	2. Written Communication: All neuroscience majors generate a formal review paper in at least two Writing Intensive Courses (WIC) within the major. These papers are submitted by students to their e-portfolios and assessed using the	11 (n=11)	As student are not yet achieving the desired learning goals, we plan to include more directed writing assignments in future coursework.  For example, all students in NSCI 101 will complete a guided meta-analysis paper.

	SLO 3 Actively contribute to their own professional development -Experimental design and data analysis	3. Experiential Design Analysis Avg. score - 2.6/10.0	AAC&U Written Communication rubric.  A writing sample from all neuroscience majors enrolled in NSCI 109 was assessed using the AAC&U Written Communication rubric. Average score: 1.7/5.0, lower than our goal of 3.0 on this rubric.  3. Experimental design and data analysis: All students complete the Experimental Design Ability Test (EDAT) at three points in their academic careers; during their first, sophomore and senior year (NSCI 101, 202 and 400 respectively).  The EDAT was conducted for all students enrolled in NSCI 109 in the fall of 2014. The average score for this group was a 2.6 (on a 10 point scale). This is lower than our goal of a 4 by the end of the sophomore year and	11 (n=11)	<ul> <li>3. As the current average score in this category indicates that student are not yet achieving the desired learning goals, we plan to include more direct instruction on the experimental method in 100- and 200-level courses. For example, starting in the fall of 2015 all neuroscience students will complete NSCI 101 before Introduction to Neuroscience (NSCI 202). This change was made in part due to the lower-than-expected scores in this measure.</li> <li>Improving Assessment</li> <li>Implement the assessment plan developed this year (see NSCI report for full details).</li> </ul>
--	--	---	--	-----------	--

			- Chartha and aftha		
			a 6 by the end of the		
<u> </u>			senior year.		
	Music  SLO 1 Demonstrate a basic knowledge of the elements of music and the basic principles and mechanics of acting, directing, and design.	Music SLO 1: Ave. score – 5/5	<ul> <li>Music</li> <li>Collected samples of student exams of skills tests, and recordings of students playing recitals or ensemble pieces. These works were scored against departmentally developed rubrics by the</li> </ul>	Music SLO 1 4 (n=26)	<ul> <li>Music</li> <li>Improving Student Learning-Music Theory</li> <li>Develop additional composition projects to be completed in an iterative process.</li> <li>Increase focus on the realization of figured base exercises.</li> <li>Spend more instructional time on developing composition skills in four-</li> </ul>
from student work and record all performances for evaluative purposes.  Due to need for remediation, no dictation samples were collected this year.	SLO 3 Analyze harmonic progressions in simple four-part harmony.  SLO 8 Demonstrate appropriate performance techniques in an ensemble or solo setting.  SLO 9 Understand and learn basic conducting techniques, develop self-confidence in conducting, and conduct live rehearsals.	SLO 3: Part 1 – 5/5, 5/5 Part 2 – 4/5, 3/5  SLO 8: All – 5/5  SLO 9: 4/5	<ul> <li>Recorded student performances and analytical papers were randomly sampled.</li> <li>The work assessed shows that our students are meeting the first learning outcome at the highest level.</li> <li>Students are achieving satisfactory performance on analyzing harmonic progression, but not achieving at the highest level.</li> <li>Several performances were evaluated and all were judged to be above satisfactory.</li> <li>The marching band drum majors were found to be</li> </ul>	SLO 3 4 (n=4)  SLO 8 3 (n=3)  SLO 9 1 (recording from MUSC467, Marching Band)	part texture.  • Assign more four-part composition assignments.

			student conducting. Next year this SLO will be assessed using students from MUS 364.		
<ul> <li>Theater</li> <li>Retain examples of student work from across the THAR curriculum. The department is</li> </ul>	Theater SLO 1 Demonstrates a working knowledge of the various aspects of theatre production.	Theatre SLO 1 All – 5/5	Theater SLO 1 All assessed samples achieved a ranking of excellent on knowledge of the aspects of theater production.	Theatre 3 (n=3)	<ul> <li>Theater         Improving Student Learning     </li> <li>Devote class time for students to discuss the qualities of appropriate selections for their performances.         Instructor and peer feedback should     </li> </ul>
II	SLO 3 Demonstrates capable analysis and interpretation of plays and other theatrical events with special attention to the skills involved in acting and performance, directing, designing, and playwriting.	SLO 3 5/5, 4/5, 2/5	SLO 3 Two samples met the analysis and interpretation learning outcome successfully; the other did not. It seems the unsuccessful paper was the result of rushing to finish.	3 (n=10)	ensure students select appropriate materials to perform.  Departmental—Improving Assessment  Revise SLOs in order to make them more concise and applicable across both music and theater.
analyses of their work.	SLO 4 Demonstrates the ability to reach an audience effectively through at least one of the components of theatrical art (acting, directing, designing, playwriting, etc.).	SLO 4 5/5, 4/5, 4/5	SLO 4 All samples scored were successful or proficient on this learning outcome.	3 (n=10)	
	SLO 5 Demonstrates the ability to express in performance, in writing, in speaking	SLO 5 5/5, 4/5, 1/5	SLO 5 The student work receiving the lowest rank did so because the piece chosen was	3 (n-5)	

		.l		n sk svikalala fandi		
		the results of		not suitable for the		
		research, critical		project.		
		judgment, and other				
		findings and				
PHIL	written assignments required for completion of a particular class, with appropriate adjustments made for level and topic of course. Completed.  Rather than simply require a final paper as part of the final exam, first drafts will be required, to be reviewed by faculty, with specific suggestions made for improvement. Completed.  For upper level seminars, students will review one another's work, with written	discoveries.  SLO 1 Demonstrate skills of philosophical analysis and argumentation.  SLO 2 Show a command of the major events and thinkers in western philosophical history.  SLO 3 Demonstrate competence in analyzing the major ethical traditions of western culture.	Research in Philosophy: 96/100 76/100  Medical Epistemology: Ave. 95/100  Ethics: Ave. 75/100	<ul> <li>The department assessed senior theses and samples of work from all philosophy courses. This work was evaluated against a departmental rubric.</li> <li>There is wide variation in performance in philosophy courses, largely due to the number of students enrolled in these courses to fulfill core requirements. Seniors are frequently enrolled in 100 level courses, and their work tends skew the results.</li> <li>All three senior theses were evaluated against</li> </ul>	Research in Philosophy: 2 (n=4)  Medical Epistemolgy: 4 (n=5)  Ethics: 6 (n=45)	<ul> <li>Improving Student Learning</li> <li>Implement instruction in the process of scholarly review and criticism of academic papers for better peer-to-peer review and to strengthen analysis and argumentation.</li> <li>Provide briefer, more numerous writing assignments to allow students more practice and more direct faculty feedback.</li> <li>Increase student opportunities for recall by delivering more frequent quizzes. Studies show that frequent recall experiences effectively increases deep learning.</li> <li>Introduce a critical reading template to guide students in the construction of their own rational arguments based upon class assignments.</li> </ul>
	comments submitted by reviewer to author, to be considered for inclusion in completed			the rubric. Of these, one was judged commendable and the other two were		
	paper. Peer-to-peer			satisfactory.		
	feedback was			Quality of student writing		
	ineffective and			is quite variable, and		
	terminated.			needs to be addressed so		

_						
	Each upper-level			that we can see		
	seminar will include a			improvements.		
	formal presentation (as					
	part of PIC					
	implementation) that					
	will then be formally					
	evaluated by other					
	members of the class.					
	PIC implementation to					
	begin in 2015-16.					
	An archive of randomly					
	selected student work will					
	be created, organized by					
	year and class, to track					
	changes and improvements					
	in student work.					
	Completed.					
PHYS	Provide students with	SLO 1 Understand	Student A	Two senior (physics	2 (n=2)	Improving Student Learning
	examples of the proper	<u>fundamental</u>	3, 3, 5, 4, 3, 4, ~	major) theses were		Students should better understand
	structure of a scientific	concepts of the	avg. = 3.67	chosen for assessment		the importance of primary sources
	paper. This should	physical world, as		against a departmental		and how to perform a literature
	perhaps be introduced	they extend to	Student B	rubric (no PHYS SECED		review, so instructional time will be
	at earlier portions of	mechanics,	4, 4, 5, N/A, 4, 4, ~	major graduated this		devoted to this.
	their college career in	thermodynamics,	avg. = 4.20	year):		Students should be involved in an
	lab reports. Completed.	optics,		<ul><li>An experimental/</li></ul>		active discussion of published research
	Instruct students on	electromagnetism,		theoretical work		that is to be used as a template,
	importance of primary	relativity, atoms, the		concerning Fourier		perhaps during the intermediate lab
	sources and how to	solid state, and		analysis of electric		(Phys 353) or other upper-level
	perform a literature	elementary particles.		circuits at Thiel.		courses.
	review. Completed.	SLO 2 Understand and apply		<ul> <li>An internship at a</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Add a PIC component to PHYS 353;</li> </ul>
	Discuss with students	the scientific method		national laboratory		perhaps add PIC to PHYS 253 and 363 as
	how published research	and the concepts of		concerning data		well.
	may be used as a	precision and		analysis and sensor –		
	template. Not yet	accuracy of		data storage		

		accomplished.	measurements and			interfacing; accepted		Improving Assessment
	•	Instruct students on	data.			as senior thesis		Develop and implement a scoring scale
		effective scheduling of	SLO 3 Possess laboratory			because of		to provide a quantitative reference for
		research: specific	skills including the			appropriate scope		narrative assessment.
		deadlines for topic, data	handling of			and difficulty.		
		collection, drafts, and	instruments and		•	Substantial improvement		
		the final paper.	apparatus.			over previous theses:		
		Completed.	SLO 4 Possess mechanical			longer and much more		
	•	Encourage students to	skills: wood and			detailed. Both students		
		present their research.	metal working,			have given an oral		
		One student presented	construction and			presentation at Thiel's		
		at a national	assembly of			research symposium, and		
		conference, and	experiments and			student B also at a		
		another made a poster	apparatus.			national conference. We		
		presentation and oral	SLO 5 Possess			believe that the students		
		presentation on	mathematical,			are now receiving		
		campus.	computational, and			adequate guidance for		
			<u>spreadsheet</u> skills			their senior projects.		
			applied to typical			However, more work is		
			physics/engineering			needed on some aspects		
			applications.			of scientific research.		
			SLO 6 Demonstrate proper					
			<u>interpretation</u> of					
			data, charts,					
			diagrams, scientific					
			and technical					
			publications.					
POSCI	•	Raise expectations on	SLO 1 Use two or more	Avg. – 2.67/4.0	•	Research papers from fall	6 (n=6)	Improving Student Learning
		quality of scholarship	principal frameworks			2014 Senior Seminar		Decrease variance by ensuring that
		and impose stricter	to analyze political			used to assess		weaker students are more closely
		penalties for plagiarism.	power.			departmental SLOs.		monitored and receive individual
		The department clearly	SLO 2 Use two or more		•	Analysis did not go in		attention as needed; by initiating
		communicated high	principal frameworks			depth; discussion was		paired or team-based learning such
		expectations of	to describe and			often limited and		that stronger students assist weaker

	1	1 1111				
	academic standards and	analyze political		sometimes inaccurate.		students; and by instituting more peer
	research, and began	processes and		All of the papers		mentoring overall, within the
	reporting all cases of	institutions.		incorporated some		department and/or in collaboration
	suspected academic	SLO 3 Critically use		element of normative		with the Thiel Learning Commons.
	dishonesty to the	important elements		political thought.		<ul> <li>To enhance understanding of analytical</li> </ul>
	VPAA's office.	commonly found in		<ul> <li>Most of the students</li> </ul>		frameworks, ensure that assignment
	<ul> <li>Closer monitoring of</li> </ul>	normative political		struggled with using,		prompts are optimally aligned with
	student scheduling of	thought.		presenting, and analyzing		SLOs; introduce principal frameworks in
	the research	SLO 4 Use the principal		data. The lack of		both introductory courses and embed
	methods/senior seminar	elements of empirical		scholarly articles and		in later courses as well; ensure that
	sequence. <b>Department</b>	research in political		improper citation was		departmental assessment is a shared
	faculty are closely	science at both the		commonplace. Research		activity that is fully endorsed and
	monitoring the	macro and micro		design problems/		understood by all political science
	scheduling of our	levels of analysis.		confusion and execution		faculty members.
	advisees to ensure they			of the design were also		To assist students in honing
	enroll in these courses			problematic.		analytical skills, in all courses stress
	at the appropriate time.					analysis as a key political science
						skill; create a variety of imaginative
						and challenging assignments, oral
						and written, across the four years of
						study.
						Continue to require more rigorous
						scholarship from our students and
						impose appropriate penalties for
						honor code violations.
						Monitor student scheduling to
						minimize students taking required
						courses out of sequence.
						Structure research assignments to create
						multiple feedback opportunities.
PSYC	Use a universal grading	SLO 1 Describe key	• PSY 340	The faculty collected 12	5 (n=21)	Improving Student Learning
	rubric for all of the	concepts, principles,	5 groups/2 students	writing samples for PSY	J ( 21)	With two new faculty members in
	courses in which	and overarching	each	300-level laboratory		place and the curriculum completely
	students are required to	themes in	Cacii	courses.		re-designed, make PSY 222, Research
	students are required to	tiletiles III		courses.		ie-uesigneu, make PST ZZZ, Resedich

	write an APA research	psychology.	• PSY 342	•	Significant concern – a	5 (n=23)	Methods, pre-requisite to the PSY 300
	report. A general	SLO 2 Use scientific	5 groups/2 students		large percentage of		laboratory series so that students are
	template has been	reasoning to interpret	each		"novice" students were		implementing the correct research
	developed and is	psychological			juniors/graduating		methods from the beginning of their
	aligned with student	phenomena.	• PSY 343		seniors.	2 (n=7)	careers as majors. This step will
	learning outcomes.	SLO 3 Demonstrate	2 groups/1 of 3; 1 of 4				address weaknesses in SLO 2.1,
	Students will be strongly	psychology	8			Total:	Scientific Reasoning, 3.2 Information
	encouraged to take PSY	information literacy.	Analysis:			12 (n=51)	Literacy, and 3.4, Psychological
	222 before any of the	SLO 4 Engage in innovative	58% met or exceeded				Research.
	300-level laboratory	and integrative	departmental				Implement an orientation course for
	courses. We postponed	thinking and problem	benchmarks for junior-				psychology majors to be taken
	on making PSY 222 a	solving.	level students.				concurrently with the General
	prerequisite for the PSY	SLO 5 Interpret, design and					Psychology course. This course will
	300 laboratories until	conduct basic	Based on a benchmark of				build familiarity with careers in the field,
	our redesigned	psychological	2/3rds of foundation				education requirements associated with
	curriculum and new	research.	indicators, overall results				those fields, and skills needed to
	faculty member are in	SLO 6 Demonstrate	based on APA indicators				succeed as professionals. Addresses one
	place (Fall 2015).	effective writing for	are:				facet of SLO 3.2.
•	Collect samples of	different purposes.					Implement capstone courses as
	student papers from		(2) 16% - Advanced				culmination of SLO 3.3, Integrative
	300-level laboratory		(5) 42% - Intermediate				Thinking and ProblemSolving.
	series for assessment		(5) 42% - Novice				
	purposes. Samples from						Improving Assessment
	each 300 laboratory						Develop an assessment timeline for the
	section were collected						revised curriculum.
	and assessed.						Assess samples from PSY 400 seminar
•	Study the revised (2014)						series courses.
	American Psychological						<ul> <li>Further discuss implications of assessing</li> </ul>
	Association (APA)						group work.
	Undergraduate Student						
	Learning Outcomes						
	(SLOs) and decide which						
	are appropriate to						
	adopt for our program.						

	Adopted all five APA undergraduate SLOs.  Develop capstone course with the intention of developing projects that are aimed at assessing the newly adopted learning outcomes. The revised psychology curriculum includes junior and senior capstone courses.						
REL	<ul> <li>Improve the assessment process by housing it in the senior capstone course. Completed.</li> <li>Distribute assessment questions &amp; scoring rubric at the beginning of the semester, give students rigorous guidelines for completing the assignment.</li> <li>Completed.</li> </ul>	SLO 1 Comprehend the nature of religion by understanding the various methods of studying religion. SLO 2 Conceive the reality of "the ultimate" or God in relation to both inherited ideas of the past and the concerns of contemporary society. SLO 3 Appreciate the unity and diversity of the pluralistic heritage of the world's religions; understand the different approaches of relating Christianity to the other world	Oral Evaluation: Instrument (Interview)  REL 340: Readings in Theology 4.45/6.0	•	The department administered an oral examination/interview to students in REL 340. The examination was developed specifically to assess students' achievement of the learning outcomes. The oral examination results were evaluated using a rubric developed for this assessment. The departmental benchmark was that all students should obtain the rating at least of fair. All students surpassed the benchmark. Students seemed to	6 (n=7)	<ul> <li>Give students full information regarding the interview at the beginning of the fall semester and remind them of this again at the beginning of the spring semester and at mid-term, so that students have a chance to be fully prepared.</li> <li>Improving Assessment</li> <li>Make the interview process completely independent of the Readings in Theology course.</li> <li>Administer the interview only to seniors.</li> <li>Investigate the possibility of developing a portfolio system in which supporting documents are collected by the student. Then during a longer interview that material could be referred to in the discussion.</li> </ul>

			religions.  SLO 4 Understand various hermeneutical methodologies and apply hermeneutical principles in interpreting Jewish and Christian scriptures.				Students reported a feeling of achievement and confidence.		
SOC/CJS	•	Develop a capstone course for the two majors in our department: sociology and criminal justice studies. Under development and will be presented to the Curriculum Study Committee in Fall 2015. Develop an electronic archive of student work. Completed. Design departmental scoring rubrics for courses and departmental learning outcomes. We assessed 9 courses over the 2014-2015 academic year. We are still developing rubrics for all our courses to be published in course syllabi.	Sociology SLO 1 Understand and apply the sociological perspective. SLO 2 Understand and apply the principles of good social science research methodology. SLO 3 Understand and apply the major theoretical paradigms of sociology. SLO 4 Understand the diversity of human behavior and belief in a global context. Criminal Justice (specific) SLO 1 Students will demonstrate knowledge of concepts and issues in criminal justice studies.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Results using AAC&U Critical Thinking Rubric: Explanation, Context, & Conclusions. SOC 121 = 1.7/4.0 SOC 141 = 3.0/4.0 SOC 191 = 1.2/4.0 SOC 211 = 2.5/4.0 SOC 342 = 2.5/4.0 SOC 425 = 1.0/4.0	•	Although four courses that we assessed are introductory level courses, and mostly populated by underclassmen, many upper-level students also take these to fulfill core requirements.  Students in the advanced courses showed disappointing performances on the critical thinking rubric. Their papers indicated that students were performing below the capstone level.	1. 2 (n=77)  2. 3 (n=79) 3. 5 (n=55) 4. 14(n=62) 5. 2 (n=25) 6. 2 (n=3) 7. 2 (n=23) 8. 2(n=16) 9. 2(n=11)	<ul> <li>Provide students with grading rubrics in advance of their writing assignments. This should help students to organize their writing assignments in order to meet expectations.</li> <li>Clarify writing prompts so that students understand the purpose of the assignment, e.g., critical book review vs. term paper.</li> <li>"Writing in stages"—require students to present the topic/thesis of the paper, write an annotated bibliography, draft, revise and submit a final draft. Provide critical feedback at each stage of the process.</li> <li>Devote more class time to solving problems in sociology and criminal justice studies. Various theoretical approaches to solving problems will be modeled and then student groups will address a social problem and offer possible solutions. Each group will present its solutions to the problem,</li> </ul>

Review and revise the departmental learning			followed by general discussion. This process will provide modeling and
outcomes. We revised			practice in using critical thinking skills
the college catalogue			to address real issues in the social
description for			world.
sociology and criminal			Improving Assessment
justice studies and			Assess fewer courses annually and
revisited and revised			increase sample size.
the learning objectives			
and goals.			